

United States Patent and Trademark Office



af.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 2936 J38.2-10197 Jeffrey T. Johnson 10/23/2001 10/047,929 02/28/2003 490 EXAMINER VIDAS, ARRETT & STEINKRAUS, P.A. WRIGHT, ANDREW D 6109 BLUE CIRCLE DRIVE **SUITE 2000** PAPER NUMBER MINNETONKA, MN 55343-9185 ART UNIT 3617

DATE MAILED: 02/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

			Application N	√Ω.	Applicant(s)	Λ \prime	
			10/047,929		JOHNSON	\mathcal{A}	
		Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	14	
			Andrew Wrig	ht	3617	ddross	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond nce address							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPET TO SET TO Extract STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPET TO SET TO Extract STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPET TO SET TO Extract STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPET TO SET TO							
Status							
	1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 171					
	2a)⊠		nis action is no		rosecution as to	the merits is	
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
	 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
ļ		6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
^	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
F	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
ŀ	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
		1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
		2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
		3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
ļ	*	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
	14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 55 5.5.6. § 116(5) (to a priority described priority under 55 5.5.6.)					
	15)	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
	Attachme	ttachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
	2) T No	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	s)	4) Interview Summ 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	nary (P10-413) Pape nal Patent Application	n (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 10/047,929

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claims 1 and 4 recite the limitation "the arc of curvature of the tool" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The claims each recite an arc of curvature of the rod, but do not recite an arc of curvature of the tool.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Lisowski (US 5,937,473). Lisowski discloses a boat implement that comprises an elongate cylindrical rod. The rod is curved (figure 13) and has a ridged hand grip (59) at one end and tined section with a plurality of tines (83) at the opposite end. The rod has an arc of curvature. The tool also has an arc of curvature in the best shown by ribs (80) in figure 5. The tines project out from the rod in the plane of the arc of curvature of the

Application/Control Number: 10/047,929 Page 3

Art Unit: 3617

tool ribs (80). The hand grip end has an aperture in which strap (60) is held. The implement is designed to float in the event that it is dropped in the water.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Lisowski (US 5,937,473) in view of Nunziato et al. (US 3,993,013). The Lisowski rod
 has tines that are connected by a brace bar (reinforcing ribbing (80)). Lisowski does not
 disclose that the hand grip ridges are cross hatched. Nunziato discloses a boat
 implement with a hand grip (26) having cross-hatched ridges. The cross hatch ridges
 enhance the user's grip. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary
 skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the invention of
 Lisowski by using a hand grip with cross hatch ridges. The motivation would be to
 enhance the user's grip.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/047,929

Art Unit: 3617

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Andrew D. Wright at telephone number (703) 308-6841. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Joe Morano, can be reached at (703) 308-0230. The fax number for official communications is 703-872-9326 for before final proceedings and 703-872-9327 for after final proceedings. The fax number for the examiner for unofficial communications is 703-746-3548.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist at (703) 308-1113.

Andrew D. Wright Patent Examiner Art Unit 3617

S. JOSEPH MORANO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600